

96-45

MR. & MRS. DON E. EBERLY
9339 SHADE LANE, PICO RIVERA,
CALIFORNIA 90660-5255

The federal Communication Commission
445 12th Street, SW
Washington, DC 20554

Subject: Federal-State Join Board on Universal Service-- docket 96-45

February 24, 2005

Dear Commission::

We are concerned about your flat fee proposal .

It will make phoning unaffordable for many of us.

Our phone service already has more tax and other charges than it should have in a free nation.

Please be fair.

Don't put more tax on our phone bills.


Sincerely yours,

Don & Barbara Eberly

Don & Barbara Eberly

No. of Copies rec'd 0
List ABCDE

The Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street, SW
Washington, DC 20554



Subject: Federal-State Joint Board on Universal Service—Docket 96-45

Dear The Federal Communications Commission:

I do not want to pay more for my telephone service! I urge you to reject a flat fee proposal that would change how contributions are made to the Universal Service Fund. I am concerned that this proposal could make my current service unaffordable.


Under the flat fee proposal you are considering, people who make a few long distance calls would pay the same as people or businesses that make many calls. In other words, low-volume and primarily residential customers would bear the same universal service fund burden as a high-volume residential or business customers. This is unfair!

I use my wireless phone for safety, security and convenience. I don't want to lose these benefits so that big businesses can pay less than their fair share. I urge you to reject the proposal to move the USF collection system to a flat-fee.

Keep the USF fair!

Sincerely, *Robert L. Kemp*

No. of Copies rec'd _____
List ABCDE _____



96-45

Joseph Thibodeau Sr.

443 Collins Ferry Rd. , Gladys, Virginia 24554-2497

February 25, 2005 11:07 AM

The Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street, SW
Washington, DC 20554

Subject: Federal-State Joint Board on Universal Service -- Docket 96-45

Dear The Federal Communications Commission:

I do not want to pay more for my telephone service! I urge you to reject a flat fee proposal that would change how contributions are made to the Universal Service Fund. I am concerned that this proposal could make my current service unaffordable.


Under the flat fee proposal you are considering, people who make few long distance calls would pay the same as people or businesses that make many calls. In other words, low-volume and primarily residential customers would bear the same universal service fund burden as a high-volume residential or business customers. This is unfair!

I use my wireless phone for safety, security and convenience. I don't want to lose these benefits so that big businesses can pay less than their fair share. I urge you to reject the proposal to move the USF collection system to a flat-fee.

Keep the USF Fair!

Sincerely,

Joseph Thibodeau Sr.



No. of Copies rec'd 0
List ABCDE

Daniel McNamara

4649 Paloma Road , Valley Springs, California 95252

RECEIVED & INSPECTED

MAR - 1 2005

FCC - MAILROOM

February 17, 2005 06:35 AM

The Federal Communications Commission

Subject: Federal-State Joint Board on Universal Service -- Docket 96-45

Dear The Federal Communications Commission:

I do not want to pay more for my telephone service! I urge you to reject a flat fee proposal that would change how contributions are made to the Universal Service Fund. I am concerned that this proposal could make my current service unaffordable.

Under the flat fee proposal you are considering, people who make few long distance calls would pay the same as people or businesses that make many calls. In other words, low-volume and primarily residential customers would bear the same universal service fund burden as a high-volume residential or business customers. This is unfair!


I use my wireless phone for safety, security and convenience. I don't want to lose these benefits so that big businesses can pay less than their fair share. I urge you to reject the proposal to move the USF collection system to a flat-fee.

Keep the USF Fair!

Sincerely,

Daniel McNamara
Daniel McNamara

No. of Copies rec'd _____
List ABCDE _____



Cheryl Morgan

1330 Parker Rd , Holly, Michigan 48442-8638

February 22, 2005 06:32 AM

The Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street, SW
Washington, DC 20554

Subject: Federal-State Joint Board on Universal Service -- Docket 96-45

Dear The Federal Communications Commission:

ECFS - Email Filing

Proceeding: 96-45
Date: 02/08/2005

CONTACT-EMAIL: cmorgan@aarell.com

I do not want to pay more for my telephone service! I urge you to reject a flat fee proposal that would change how contributions are made to the Universal Service Fund. I am concerned that this proposal could make my current service unaffordable.

Under the flat fee proposal you are considering, people who make few long distance calls would pay the same as people or businesses that make many calls. In other words, low-volume and primarily residential customers would bear the same universal service fund burden as a high-volume residential or business customers. This is unfair!

I use my wireless phone for safety, and security only. I don't want to lose these benefits so that big businesses can pay less than their fair share. If this flat-fee goes through, I WILL CANCEL my cell phone service! I urge you to reject the proposal to move the USF collection system to a flat-fee.

Keep the USF Fair!

Sincerely,

Cheryl Morgan
Cheryl Morgan

No. of Copies rec'd 0
List ABCDE